

#### Clark-Wilson Policies in ACP: Controlling Information Flow Between Solid Apps

SoSy'24: Privacy @ the Solid Symposium, May 2-3, 2024, Leuven, Belgium

Ellie Forsyth and Ross Horne

University of Strathclyde, Glasgow

## Towards a policy model for Solid



This is WAC, a language for describing access control rules:

- 1 @prefix acl: <http://www.w3.org/ns/auth/acl#>
- 2
- 3 <#exampleOfWAC>
- 4 a acl:Authorisation;
- 5 acl:agent <https://solidweb.me/Ellie-s-Pod/profile/card#me>;
- 6 acl:default <https://solidweb.me/Ellie-s-Pod/Resource1/>;
- 7 acl:mode acl:Read, acl:Write.

## Towards a policy model for Solid



#### acl:Authorisation rdf:type https://solidweb.me/Ellie-s-Pod/profile/card#me acl:agent acl:default http://www.ldf.fi/service/rdf-grapher/#exampleOfWAC https://solidweb.me/Ellie-s-Pod/Resource1/ acl:mode acl:Read acl:mode acl:Write Namespaces: acl: http://www.w3.org/ns/auth/acl# rdf: http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#

This is WAC, a language for describing access control rules:

# Towards a policy model for Solid



#### This is WAC, a language for describing access control rules:



acl: http://www.w3.org/ns/auth/acl# rdf: http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#

Research questions:

- Is WAC enough to describe policies with adequate security guarantees?
- What policy model suits Solid for determining when a policy is secure?

### **Client constraints**



"The Authorization Panel is undertaking the following initiatives, in priority order:

- 1. Document use cases and requirements for authorization.
- 2. Produce an authorization system specification to satisfy those use cases and requirements.
- 3. Propose mechanism(s) for client constraints."

### **Client constraints**



"The Authorization Panel is undertaking the following initiatives, in priority order:

- 1. Document use cases and requirements for authorization.
- 2. Produce an authorization system specification to satisfy those use cases and requirements.
- 3. Propose mechanism(s) for client constraints."

Requirements transcending Solid use cases:

- Different entities run apps and pods.
- Different entities run apps that connect to the same pod.
- Entities are not mutually trustworthy (conflicts-of-interest, exposure to cyber attacks, etc.).

## **Enterprise policy models since 1987**





# No client constraints; no confidentiality







```
@prefix acl: <http://www.w3.org/ns/auth/acl#> .
 23
    @prefix acp: <http://www.w3.org/ns/solid/acp#> .
 4
     <#exampleOfACP 1>
 567
       a acp:AccessControlResource;
       acp:resource <https://solidweb.me/Ellie-s-Pod/Resource1/>;
       acp:accessControl <#ownerAccess1>;
 89
       acp:memberAccessControl < #ownerAccess1>.
10
     <#ownerAccess1>
11
       a acp:AccessControl:
12
       acp:apply [
13
14
        a acp:Policy:
        acp:allow acl:Read, acl:Write:
15
16
        acp:allOf [
                a acp:Matcher:
17
                acp:client <https://solidweb.me/ClientPod/app1/clientid.jsonId>;
18
                acp:agent <https://solidweb.me/Ellie-s-Pod/profile/card#me>;
19
                acp:issuer <https://solidweb.me/>]].
```





```
1 @prefix acl: <http://www.w3.org/ns/auth/acl#> .
2 @prefix acp: <http://www.w3.org/ns/solid/acp#> .
3
4 <#policy1>
5 a acp:Policy;
6 acp:allow acl:Read, acl:Write;
7 acp:allow acl:Read, acl:Write;
9 acp:allof [
8 a acp:Matcher;
9 acp:Client <https://solidweb.me/ClientPod/app1/clientid.jsonld>;
10 acp:agent <https://solidweb.me/Ellie=s=Pod/profile/card#me>;
11 acp:ssuer <https://solidweb.me/>].
```





```
1 @prefix acl: <http://www.w3.org/ns/auth/acl#> .
2 @prefix acp: <http://www.w3.org/ns/solid/acp#> .
3
4 <#policy1>
5 a acp:Policy;
6 acp:allow acl:Read, acl:Write;
7 acp:allow acl:Read, acl:Write;
9 acp:client <https://solidweb.me/ClientPod/app2/clientid.jsonId>;
10 acp:agent <https://solidweb.me/Ellie=s=Pod/profile/card#me>;
11 acp:issuer <https://solidweb.me/>].
```



1	<#exampleOfACP_2>
3	acp:resource <a href="https://solidweb.me/Ellie_s-Pod/Resource2/">https://solidweb.me/Ellie_s-Pod/Resource2/</a> ;
4	acp:accessControl <#ownerAccess2>, <#externalAgent>;
5 6	${\tt acp:memberAccessControl} < \!\!\!\# ownerAccess2\!\!>, < \!\!\!\# externalAgent\!\!>.$
7	<#ownerAccess2>
8	a acp:AccessControl;
9	acp:apply [
10	a acp:Policy;
11	acp:allow acl:Read, acl:Write;
12	acp:allOf [
13	a acp:Matcher;
14	acp:client <https: app2="" clientid.jsonid="" clientpod="" solidweb.me=""></https:>
15	acp:agent <https: card#me="" ellie-s-pod="" profile="" solidweb.me=""></https:>
16	acp:issuer <https: solidweb.me=""></https:> ] ].

#### Requirement: identify apps and name them in the policy.

# Policy model enforced by security app



1	<#root>
2	a acp:AccessControlResource;
3	acp:resource <./>;
4	acp:accessControl <#secureApp>;
5	acp:memberAccessControl <#secureApp>.
6	
7	<#secureApp>
8	a acp:AccessControl;
9	acp:apply [
10	a acp:Policy;
11	acp:allow acl:Control;
12	acp:allOf [
13	a acp:Matcher;
14	acp:client <https: clientid.jsonid="" clientpod="" demoapp="" solidweb.me="">;</https:>
15	acp:agent <https: card#me="" ellie_s–pod="" profile="" solidweb.me="">;</https:>
10	acp:issuer <http: localhost:3000=""></http:>
11	
18	].

#### Policy: entity of pod entrusts entity providing security app.

### Attack without acp:issuer









*Cyber-resillience:* isolate cyber risks by filtering access by organisational boundaries? Draw inspiration from Clark-Wilson, Android developer, same-origin, etc.

Lattice-based policy model:

- Explicit confidentiality and integrity goals.
- Conflicts-of-interests between entities (Brewer-Nash).
- Sanitized data flows freely between entities permited to access pod.
- Dynamics: pod (and security app) validates state transitions (e.g., don't give more than acl:Control to security app, require consent to enable new accesses, etc.)

Community effort: specify policy models for Solid with guidelines for use cases.

Policy model can encompass legal aspects of policy (e.g., is entity linked with contact details of controller).